

May 27, 2020

### **Held Result of Procurement Review Committee**

The Kansai Electric Power Co., Inc. (Code: 9503)

6-16 Nakanoshima 3-chome, Kita-ku, Osaka

(URL: <https://www.kepco.co.jp>)

Company Representative: Takashi Morimoto, President and Director

Contact: Michiya Sakata, Senior General Manager, Office of Accounting and Finance

Tel: +81-6-6441-8821

As one of the efforts in our recently formulated business improvement plan, we established a “Procurement Review Committee” for the purpose of ensuring appropriateness and transparency of the procedures for placing orders, contracting construction, making donations and paying cooperation money (hereinafter, “procedures for construction order placement, donation, etc. “).

(Noticed on April 28, 2020)

Yesterday, the first meeting of Procurement Review Committee was held.

By building a mechanism for conducting examinations from the viewpoint of external experts, we will make efforts to ensure appropriateness and transparency of the procedures for construction order placement, donation, etc. We are fully committed to restoring trust.

Attachment: Held result of Procurement Review Committee

<Overview of Held Result of Procurement Committee>

Date: May 26, 2020, 16:00-17:30

Place: Headquarter of KEPCO

Participants: Chairperson Yojiro Taki, attorney

Outside members Atsushi Takada, attorney

Atsushi Ishigame, Professor of Osaka Prefecture University

KEPCO members Toyokazu Misono, Executive Officer in charge of Office of  
Compliance Promotion

Agenda: Appropriateness of company standards for procedures for construction work order placement and cash contributions, etc., and future assessment process, etc.

[Summary of Proceedings]

<Appropriateness of Company Standards>

- The appropriateness of company standards in the process of being established or reviewed was assessed in order to prohibit inappropriate behavior in the course of construction work order placement, contract execution or the like, and, for established company standards, in order to ensure the appropriateness of procedures for making cash contributions or other similar payment.
- Concerning the abovementioned construction work order placement or contract execution processes, instructions were given to reconsider incorporating more explicit criteria into the company standards under review that prohibit the placement of orders with a specifically nominated contractor or the advance provision of information. It was confirmed that they were to be reviewed again at the next committee meeting.

<Future Assessment Process>

- The concepts of the assessment process that is applied to individual projects were examined and regarded as appropriate in general. It was confirmed that further details of the assessment process should be examined at the next committee meeting.

<Matters relating to Construction Work Order Placement>

- The evaluation axis of the recurrence prevention measures submitted by business partners who had been suspended from nomination was examined and regarded as appropriate in general. It was confirmed that a determination should be made as to whether or not the suspension of nomination should be withdrawn after evaluating the examination results, at a committee meeting.